Childhood Disadvantage and Childbearing Trajectories: A Comparison of 15 Industrialized Countries

J.C. Koops, A.C. Liefbroer, and A.H. Gauthier

Industrialized societies are characterized by changing demographic behaviour regarding family formation (Elzinga & Liefbroer, 2007; Kiernan, 2004). Most of these changes appear to be fuelled by ideational shifts and relate for example to increasing individualistic and gender equal societies (Lesthaeghe, 2010; Van de Kaa, 2001). However, a growing literature indicates that children from advantaged and disadvantaged backgrounds often follow different childbearing trajectories (McLanahan, 2004). Postponement of the birth of the first child is for example more common among women from advantaged backgrounds (Blossfeld, 1995, Kravdal, 1994; Liefbroer & Corijn, 1999), while women from disadvantaged backgrounds are more likely to be a single mother at one point during their life-course (McLanahan, 2004; Mare, 2004, 2006).

Most of these findings are based on single country studies (Aassve, 2003; Amato, et al., 2008; Högnäs & Carlson, 2012; Wu, 1993, 1996). Moreover, cross-national research that has investigated the link between childhood disadvantage and fertility behaviour has almost primarily focused on a single point in time, such as the moment of the birth of the first child (Koops, Liefbroer & Gauthier, 2015). In this paper we want to take a more holistic approach by examining how childhood disadvantage affects childbearing trajectories in different industrialized societies. We will thereby not focus on one specific moment in time, but instead follow the partnership trajectories of women from 1 year before the birth of their first child up to 3 years after. We will use the data of the Generations and Gender Programme (GGS) which provides detailed information on partnership and fertility histories, and includes information on the childhood family, such as parental socio-economic status and divorce. With latent class regression models (using the R package poLCA, see Linzer & Lewis, 2011) we will test if and to what extent, childbearing trajectories are different for women who grew up in advantaged and disadvantaged homes. Since the GGS combines information of several countries, we are able to examine if the findings are similar for different societal contexts.

References

- Aassve, A. (2003). The impact of economic resources on premarital childbearing and subsequent marriage among young American women. *Demography*, 40(1), 105-126, doi:10.2307/3180814.
- Amato, P. R., Landale, N. S., Havasevich-Brooks, T. C., Booth, A., Eggebeen, D. J., Schoen, R., et al. (2008). Precursors of young women's family formation pathways. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 70(5), 1271-1286.
- Blossfeld, H.-P. (1995). *Changes in the process of family formation and women's growing economic independence: A comparison of nine countries.* Blossfeld, H-P.eds. The New Role of Women-Family Formation in Modern Societies. Westview Press: Oxford.
- Elzinga, C. H., & Liefbroer, A. C. (2007). De-standardization of family-life trajectories of young adults: A cross-national comparison using sequence analysis. *European Journal of Population/Revue européenne de Démographie*,23(3-4), 225-250.
- Högnäs, R. S., & Carlson, M. J. (2012). "Like Parent, Like Child?": The intergenerational transmission of nonmarital childbearing. *Social Science Research*, 41(6), 1480-1494, doi:10.1016/j.ssresearch.2012.05.012.

- Kiernan, K. (2004). Unmarried cohabitation and parenthood in Britain and Europe. *Law & Policy*, *26*(1), 33-55.
- Koops, J. C., Liefbroer, A. C., and Gauthier, A. H. (2015). The influence of parental educational attainment on the partnership context at first birth in 16 Western societies. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Kravdal, Ø. (1994). The importance of economic activity, economic potential and economic resources for the timing of first births in Norway. *Population studies*, 48(2), 249-267.
- Lesthaeghe, R. (2010). The unfolding story of the second demographic transition. *Population and development review*, 211-251.
- Liefbroer, A. C., & Corijn, M. (1999). Who, what, where, and when? Specifying the impact of educational attainment and labour force participation on family formation. *European Journal of Population/Revue Européenne de Démographie*,15(1), 45-75.
- Linzer, D. A., & Lewis, J. B. (2011). poLCA: An R package for polytomous variable latent class analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 42(10), 1-29.
- McLanahan, S. (2004). Diverging destinies: How children are faring under the second demographic transition. *Demography*, 41(4), 607-627.
- McLanahan, S. (2009). Fragile families and the reproduction of poverty. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 621(1), 111-131.
- Musick, K., & Mare, R. D. (2004). Family structure, intergenerational mobility, and the reproduction of poverty: Evidence for increasing polarization? *Demography*, *41*(4), 629-648.
- Musick, K., & Mare, R. D. (2006). Recent trends in the inheritance of poverty and family structure. *Social Science Research*, *35*(2), 471-499.
- Van de Kaa, D. J. (2001). Postmodern fertility preferences: from changing value orientation to new behavior. *Population and Development Review*, 290-331.
- Wu, L. L. (1996). Effects of family instability, income, and income instability on the risk of a premarital birth. *American Sociological Review*, *61*(3), 386-406, doi:10.2307/2096355.
- Wu, L. L., & Martinson, B. C. (1993). Family Structure and the Risk of a Premarital Birth. *American Sociological Review*, 58(2), 210-232, doi:10.2307/2095967.