

The effect of fertility on parents' happiness

FIRST DRAFT (15th DEC 2015)

Gerrit Bauer (LMU) Josef Brüderl (LMU) Thorsten Kneip (MPIsoc)

European Population Conference, Mainz, Germany, 31st AUG. - 3rd SEPT. 2016



Recently, the effect of fertility on parents' happiness has garnered much attention in scientific papers as well as in the media. We focus on the effects of first births on life satisfaction and make three distinct contributions to the literature:

1) Analysing data from the German Family Panel (pairfam), we estimate separate impact functions (distributed fixed-effects) for women and men and account for the age of the first child in 3-month intervals. This allows us to estimate the time-varying effect in more detail than does previous research which groups children's age in broader categories.

2) We conducted extensive robustness checks and the results are exceptionally robust. This is graphically illustrated by the range of impact functions and confidence bands across many differently specified models.

3) We discuss numerous potential mediators and put them to empirical testing. Besides income, education and health, which already have received attention in previous studies, we also considered stress measures (e.g. average hours of sleep) and frequency of sexual intercourse. These variables could potentially explain why the effect of children on happiness varies with the child's age. We also tested whether (states of) pregnancy can explain a positive anticipation effect, which is the case for women, whereas partner's pregnancy does not moderate the anticipation effect for men.

Overall, we find a positive effect of a first child on happiness. The effect is stronger for women and lasts until the child is 6-9 months old. Men show positive anticipation effects 12 months, women only 6 months before childbirth. The moderating impact of costs (e.g. more stress, less sex, lower income) is weak. Women and men would, by trend, be happier if children did not reduce sleep, income and the satisfaction with sexual intercourse. These factors, however, cannot explain why happiness declines to a baseline-level after 6-9 months.

EPC 2016

Bauer, Brüderl, Kneip







Data & Sample

- pairfam The German Family Panel (v6.0)
- 6 waves, 3 cohorts
- Usually 1 year between interviews
- Separate analyses of women and men
- Censored at second pregnancy

Analytic Sample I	Women	Men
N (Persons)	3.568	3.905
N (Person years)	10.511	11.227
N (first births)	427	393

EPC 2016

Bauer, Brüderl, Kneip

7



The Basic Fixed-Effect-Model

- Dependent Variable
 - Life satisfaction (happiness)
- Explanatory variable
 - Age of first biological child, in 3-month-intervals
 - Dummy impact function with anticipation effects







- Alternatives in preparing and analysing data:
 - Longer impact and anticipation-effects
 - Alternative definitions of first births
 - Not censoring at the second pregnancy or birth
 - Controlling for higher order births with impact functions
 - Alternative or no controls for age and/or period
 - No controls for partnership-, cohabitation and marriage duration

 \rightarrow 19 alternative model specifications tested

EPC 2016

Bauer, Brüderl, Kneip







Mechanisms

- Potential mediators (controls for costs): Change in
 - Objective income (household net income, personal net income)
 - Subjective income (making ends meet, 2 items)
 - Hours of sleep on an average day during the week
 - Subjective health
 - Frequency of sexual intercourse and satisfaction with sex
 - Pregnancy as explanation for anticipation effects (women)
 - Pregnancy of the partner as explanation for anticipation effects (men)

EPC 2016









Mechanisms

Mediators	Women	Men
Objective income	×	×
Subjective income	×	×
Health	×	×
Hours of sleep	×	×
Sexual freq. & sat.	×	×
Pregnant	✓	
Partner pregnant		×
PC 2016	Bauer, Brüderl, Kneip	25



Summary & Discussion

- Time-varying effect of children on parents' happiness
- Successful reproduction of Myrskylä & Margolis (2014)
 - with a more detailed impact function
- Costs (as far as already controlled for) do not significantly moderate the impact function.
- Possible remaining explanations:
 - Variation in unobserved costs
 - Variation in benefits: Cuteness
 - Setpoint theory